FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1511113-000

Total Deleted Page(s) = 5
Page 32 ~ Duplicate;
Page 33 ~ Duplicate;
Page 34 ~ Duplicate;
Page 41 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 42 ~ b3; b6; b7C;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

SD 196B-1305

The following pages reflect the cover letters and reports furnished to the FBI in San Diego by [redacted]. They show [redacted] covered by the court order, [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E

HIS HEADQUARTERS INSTRUCTED HIM TO TURN INVESTIGATION OVER TO FBI, BUT OFFERED HIS "ASSISTANCE".

THE FOLLOWING IS KNOWN: BEGINNING IN EARLY JUNE, 1985, AND CONTINUING TO THE PRESENT TIME, UNSUBS, AKA (X) [redacted] (X) HAVE HACKED INTO THE IDC COMPUTER THROUGH THE 800-344-1900 ACCESS LINE, ONE OF FOUR 800 NUMBERS USED BY IDC CUSTOMERS UTILIZING APPROXIMATELY 30,000 TIME SHARED ACCOUNTS. b3 b6 b7C

CHASE AUDITS HAVE TURNED UP ELEVEN KNOWN UNAUTHORIZED ENTRIES INTO TIME SHARED CUSTOMER FILES, INCLUDING TWO OF CHASE "IN HOUSE" ACCOUNTS. IT IS BELIEVED SOME OF THE FILES IN THE COMPUTER CONTAIN CHASE MANHATTAN FINANCIAL RECORDS AS DEFINED IN THE RIGHT TO FINANCIAL PRIVACY ACT OF 1978.

TO DATE, SO FAR AS IS KNOWN, NO MONEY TRANSFERS HAVE BEEN ACCOMPLISHED OR ATTEMPTED. FILE MODIFICATION AND DATA DESTRUCTION HAVE BEEN OBSERVED. CHASE CURRENTLY MODIFYING ITS COMPUTER PROGRAMS TO PREVENT FURTHER DAMAGE. CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS FIGURES BEING COMPILED AND ARE EXPECTED TO BE SUBSTANTIAL. TOP CHASE EXECUTIVES ARE MONITORING THE SITUATION CLOSELY.

[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

[redacted] HAS REQUESTED PHONE TRAPS. [redacted] ADVISED [redacted]

[redacted]

ON JULY 31, 1985, A FGJ SUBPOENA WAS SERVED ON [redacted]

[redacted] REGARDING THIS MATTER. IT WAS LEARNED TRAP BEING HANDLED THROUGH [redacted] AND THAT [redacted]

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

SAN DIEGO COORDINATING INVESTIGATION AT THIS TIME IN VVIEW OF INTEREST OF U.S. ATTORNEY, SAN DIEGO.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUES TO ROUND OUT NEEDED EVIDENCE AND TO IDENTIFY HACKERS.

BUREAU RREQUESTED TO CHECK BBUREAU INDICES RE HACKER NAMES RECOMMENDED FOR INDEXING AND SUTEL RESULTS TO SAN DIEGO.

TELETYPE BEING SENT TO BOSTON, NEWARK, AND NEW YORK FOR INFORMATION AND POSSIBLE FUTURE ACTION.

BT

#

C-88 (Rev. 4-26-78)

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATION SECTION

108

PAGE 1 OF 2

DATE	CLASSIFICATION	PRECEDENCE
8/5/85	UNCLAS	ROUTINE

$F108TRR BS NK NY SD SFTDE HQ H0108 2181954TYUTR 0611614Z AUG 85

b6
b7C

FM DIRECTOR, FBI

TO FBI, SAN DIEGO (196B-1305 ROUTINE

FBI BOSTON ROUTINE

FBI NEWARK ROUTINE

FBI NEW YORK ROUTINE

FBI SAN FRANCISCO ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

UNSUBS; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; 00: SAN DIEGO

RE SD TEL TO THE DIRECTOR, DATED 8/1/85.

PER SAN DIEGO'S REQUEST IN RE TEL, A SEARCH OF FBIHQ INDICES WAS CONDUCTED REGARDING [redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

[redacted]

BT

DRAFTED BY		DATE	ROOM	TELE EXT.
[redacted]	[redacted]	8/5/85	3839	5660

b6
b7C

1 [redacted]

1 [redacted]

196-6433-J

AUG 21 1985

[redacted]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
0443
AUG 07 [redacted]

DO NOT FILE WITHOUT COMMUNICATIONS STAMP

FBI/DOJ

SD0010 2340156

RR HQ LA SF

DE SD

R 210039Z AUG IT85

FM SAN DIEGO (196B-1305) (P)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

LOS ANGELES ROUTINE

SAN FRANCISCO ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

CHANGED, [redacted] UNSUBS; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), 486 TOTTEN POND ROAD, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA; 00: SD.

TITLE MARKED CHANGED TO ADD NAMES OF SUSPECTS, COMPLETE ADDRESS OF IDC, AND JDA TO TITLE, FORMERLY CARRIED AS "UNSUBS; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; 00: SAN DIEGO".

RE SAN DIEGO TEL TO DIRECTOR, AUGUST 1, 1985.

FOR INFORMATION LOS ANGELES, BEGINNING IN EARLY JUNE 1985, UNKNOWN "HACKERS" HAVE ATTEMPTED ACCESS INTO AN IDC COMPUTER WHICH CONTAINS FILES FROM APPROXIMATELY 30,000 CUSTOMERS ON A TIME-SHARED

RECEIVED
TELETYPE UNIT
22 AUG 86 02 20
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Asst. Dir.
Exec. AD-Inv.
Exec. AD-LES
Laboratory
Legal Coun.
Off. of Cong. & Public Affs.
Exec. Mgnt.
Tech. Servs.
Training
Telephone Rm.
Director's Sec'y

b3
b6
b7C

V-145 DE-151 196-64433-3

SEP 9 1985

b6
b7C

57 MAY 22 1986

BASIS. IDC IS A SUBSIDIARY OF CHASE MANHATTAN BANK AND THE COMPUTER CONTAINS FINANCIAL INSTITUTION INFORMATION. THERE HAS BEEN SEVERAL SUCCESSFUL ENTRIES AND FILE DESTRUCTION AND MODIFICATION HAS TAKEN PLACE. HOWEVER, NO MONEY TRANSFER HAS BEEN MADE TO DATE. CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS IS SUBSTANTIAL.

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

ALL INQUIRIES REGARDING SUSPECTS BEING MADE DISCREETLY

BECAUSE ATTEMPTS CONTINUE AND NEW SUSPECTS BEING DEVELOPED. ALL SUSPECTS IDENTIFIED TO DATE ARE 15-16 YEARS OLD, ALL NAMED SUSPECTS IN TITLE ARE LIVING IN NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY. A COMPUTER USER BULLETIN BOARD IS BELIEVED TO BE THE COMMON THREAD BETWEEN SUSPECTS.

LOS ANGELES AT RIVERSIDE CONDUCT DISCREET INQUIRY TO IDENTIFY COMPUTER HACKER AT [redacted] b3 b6 b7C

SAN FRANCISCO AT MONTERREY CONDUCT DISCREET INQUIRY TO IDENTIFY CONPUTER HACKER AT [redacted]

NO INTERVIEWS OF SUSPECTS OR PARENTS PLANNED UNTIL EXPIRATION OF COURT ORDERED PHONE TRACING. SAN DIEGO DEVELOPED SUSPECTS THROUGH DISCREET NEIGHBORHOOD INVESTIGATIONS AND SCHOOL CONTACTS.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUES TO MORE FULLY IDENTIFY SUSPECTS.

COPIES BEING MAILED BOSTON, NEWARK, AND NEW YORK FOR INFORMATION.

BT

#

SF0118 2600015Z

RR HQ SD

DE SF 008

R 160005Z SEP 85

FM SAN FRANCISCO (196B-2188) (MRA) (RUC)

TO DIRECTOR (ROUTINE)

SAN DIEGO (196B-1305) (ROUTINE)

BT

U N C L A S

[redacted] ET AL; UNSUB; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), 486 TOTTEN POND ROAD, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA; OO: SAN DIEGO.

RE SAN DIEGO TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR DATED AUGUST 21, 1985.

FOR INFORMATION OF SAN DIEGO, ON SEPTEMBER 16, 1985, [redacted] (PROTECT) [redacted]

[redacted]

APPROPRIATE DIRECTORIES VERIFIED THAT [redacted] CURRENTLY RESIDES AT [redacted] AND POSSESSES

[redacted]

20 NOV 19 1985

TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted] b6 b7C

SAN FRANCISCO WILL LEAVE TO THE DISCRETION OF SAN DIEGO AS OFFICE OF ORIGIN WHETHER TO INCLUDE [redacted] IN TITLE.

SAN FRANCISCO IS PLACING THIS MATTER IN A RUC STATUS.

BT

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☒ Airtel

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date 8/22/85

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, BOSTON (196B-1620)(P)

"CHANGED IO"
UNSUBS;
INTERACTIVE DATA CORP. (IDC)
WALTHAM, MASS. - VICTIM;
FBW;
COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984
OO: SAN DIEGO

Title changed IO by Boston in view of San Diego investigation of same matter. Boston title previously carried as UNSUBS; UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS TO COMPUTER INFO. OF INTERACTIVE DATA CORP., WALTHAM, MASS.; FBW. San Diego now designated as office of origin (OO).

Reference San Diego teletype to the Director to dated 8/1/85.

Enclosed for San Diego are the following items:

Two originals and two photocopies of each FD-302 of [redacted] dated 7/17/85 and 7/24/85; original notes of interview of [redacted]

On 7/17/85, [redacted] for treasury management services for Interactive Data Corp. (IDC), 486 Totenpond Rd., Waltham, Mass., provided information concerning possible unauthorized access to computer information. On 7/24/85 the facts in this matter

196-1620-5

SEP 6 1985

2-Bureau
2-San Diego (196B-1305)(Enc. 5)
2-Newark
2-New York
2-San Franciso
2-Boston (196B-1620)

1

Approv [redacted] Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time) Per _____ [redacted]

7 0 DEC 16 1985

were presented to Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] District of Mass. AUSA [redacted] advised [redacted]

b5
b6
b7C

On 7/24/85, [redacted] advised that on 7/22/85 the IDC Systems Terminal Manager received a request and a threat from unidentified individuals attempting to gain unauthorized access to IDC computer information. The request was for free access to IDC information and the threat was, "I could be destructive if I wanted to", if the request is not granted. On 7/29/85, AUSA [redacted] was advised of the threat information and stated [redacted]

In view of interest of the U.S. Attorney, San Diego, and lack of interest of U.S. Attorney, Boston, Boston is providing San Diego with all investigative information.

SD0005 2550155

RR HQ

DE

R 122321Z SEP 85

FM SAN DIEGO (196B-1305)(P)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

CHANGED.

UNSUBS (4); INTERACTIVE DATA ~~CORP~~ corporation (IDC), 486 TOTTEN POND RD., WALTHAM, MA - VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA. 00: SAN DIEGO

TITLE MARKED "CHANGED" TO ADD NAMES

TO THE TITLE; AND TO CORRECT SPELLING OF

RESDTEL TO DIRECTOR AUGUST 21, 1985.

RECEIVED
TELETYPE UNIT

13 SE 15

FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION

b3
b6
b7C

108 JUN 9 1986

THE COURT ORDERED TRAP AND TRACE OF [redacted] b7E

[redacted] AS A RESULT

OF SUCH TRACING, [redacted]

[redacted]

IDC, THROUGH PARENT COMPANY (CHASE MANHATTAN BANK) SECURITY OFFICERS AND AUDITORS HAVE BEEN IN PHONE CONTACT LIAISON WITH SAN DIEGO FBI, AND APPROPRIATE EVIDENCE IS BEING MAILED DIRECTLY TO SAN DIEGO. THEREFORE, LEADS TO BOSTON, NEWARK AND NEW YORK ARE NOT LIKELY AND NO FURTHER COMMUNICATIONS WILL BE SENT THERE UNLESS REQUIRED BY LATER CIRCUMSTANCES.

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C b7E

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

INVESTIGATION CONTINUES AT SAN DIEGO.

BUREAU WILL BE KEPT APPRISED OF ANY PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS.

COPY OF THIS TELETYPE BEING SENT BY MAIL TO BOSTON, LOS ANGELES, NEWARK, NEW YORK AND SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS.

BT

#

SD0003280204S

RR HQ BS LA NK NY SF

DE SD

R 071713Z OCT 85

FM SAN DIEGO (196B-1305) (P)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

BOSTON (196B-1620) ROUTINE

LOS ANGELES (196B-4161) (WCC-1) ROUTINE

NEWARK (INFO) ROUTINE

NEW YORK (INFO) ROUTINE

SAN FRANCISCO (196B-2188) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ET AL; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), 48
TTEN POND ROAD, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM; FBW; COMPU
RAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA; 00: SAN DIEGO.

RE SAN DIEGO TEL TO DIRECTOR, SEPTEMBER 4, 1985.

FOR INFORMATION RECEIVING OFFICES, [redacted]

HAS PROVIDED FBI, SAN DIEGO, WITH [redacted]

PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER ISSUED BY A U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AT SAN DIEGO [redacted]

[redacted]

RECEIVED
TELETYPE UNIT
7 OCT 85 20
FBI

Exec. AD-Adm
Exec. AD-Inv
Exec. AD-LE
Asst. Dir.:
Adm. Serv
Crim. Inv.
Ident.
Inspection
Intell.
Laboratory
Legal Coun.
Off. of Cong.
& Public Affs.
Rec. Mgnt.
Tech. Servs.
Training
Telephone Rm.
Director's Sec'y

[redacted]

b6
b7C

DE-219
SEP 5 X
V-34
196-6433-0

11 OCT 9 1985

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6

64 JUN 9 1986

AN ANALYSIS OF [redacted]

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

SAN DIEGO CONTINUES INVESTIGATION TO IDENTIFY FURTHER SUSPECTS WITHIN SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WHERE PROSECUTIVE DECISIONS BEING MADE BY AUSA [redacted] CHIEF, FRAUD UNIT, U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE [redacted]

SEARCH WARRANTS WILL BE SOUGHT FOR SUSPECT RESIDENCES WITHIN SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. PROJECTED DATE FOR APPLICATION AND EXECUTION THEREOF IS ON OR ABOUT TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1985. PRESS INTEREST IS EXPECTED. RESPONSES BY FBI; U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, SAN DIEGO; AND CHASE MANHATTAN BANK, NEW YORK, BEING COORDINATED AT SAN DIEGO.

LOS ANGELES AND SAN FRANCISCO. ADVISE SAN DIEGO WHETHER U.S. ATTORNEYS ARE INTERESTED IN SEARCHES AND/OR PROSECUTION IN YOUR DISTRICT SO APPROPRIATE EVIDENCE CAN BE FORWARDED, AS NECESSARY.

TO PRECLUDE DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE PRIOR TO THE SEARCHES, SAN DIEGO REQUESTS THAT ANY INVESTIGATION DONE BY OTHER DIVISIONS BE DISCREET SO SUSPECTS WILL NOT BE ALERTED, SINCE IT IS BELIEVED THEY ARE LINKED TOGETHER THROUGH ONE OR MORE COMPUTER BULLETIN

BOARDS, NOT YET IDENTIFIED.

LOS ANGELES AND SAN FRANCISCO. IDENTIFY HACKERS THROUGH ABOVE-LISTED INFORMATION AND REPORT, AS APPROPRIATE, RESULTS OF PREVIOUS LEADS.

COPIES TO NEWARK AND NEW YORK FOR INFORMATION.

BUREAU WILL BE KEPT APPRISED OF PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS.

BT

#

SD0Ø162882033

P HQ BS LA NK NY SF

DE SD

PØ151812Z OCT 85

FM SAN DIEGO (196B-1305) (P)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

FBI BOSTON (196B-1620) ROUTINE

FBI LOS ANGELES (196B-4161) (WCC) ROUTINE

FBI NEWARK (INFO) ROUTINE

FBI NEW YORK (INFO) ROUTINE

FBI SAN FRANCISCO (196B-2188) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

CHANGED :

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTES - VICTIM: FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA; 00: SAN DIEGO.

TITLE CHANGED TO ADD SUSPECTS [redacted]

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C

[redacted] AND TO SHOW 13 UNSBUS (6 IN LOS ANGELES DIVISION AND 7 IN SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION) NOT YET IDENTIFIED BY THOSE DIVISIONS.

RE SAN DIEGO TEL TO THE BUREAU DATED OCTOBER 7, 1985. SER. 6

FOR INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICES, SAN DIEGO MAKING APPLICATION FOR SEARCH WARRANTS TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1985, FOR SEARCHES OF TWENTY-THREE (23) RESIDENCES IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY WHERE HACKING ACTIVITY AT IDC COMPUTERS HAS BEEN INITIATED. SIMULTANEOUS SEARCHES WITHIN SAN DIEGO DIVISION EXPECTED TO BEGIN AT 6:00 PM PACIFIC DAYLIGHT TIME, TUESDAY EVENING, OCTOBER 15, 1985, WHEN SUSPECTS AND PARENTS ARE EXPECTED TO BE HOME AND AVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW.

DESCRIPTIONS, WHERE DEVELOPED, OF SUSPECTS NOT PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED FOLLOW:

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

INVESTIGATION CONTINUES AT SAN DIEGO. BUREAU WILL BE KEPT APPRISED OF PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS.

BT

#

FD-515 (Rev. 6-6-85)
ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT
(Effective 10/1/85)
(Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment)

TO: **DIRECTOR, FBI**

Bureau File Number:

196B-1305
Field Office File Number

Squad or RA Number

Date 10/10/85

FROM: SAC, SAN DIEGO

SUBJECT:
FBW:/COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984;
JDA
(OO: San Diego)

☐ X if case involves corruption of a public official (Federal, State or Local).

Investigative Assistance or Technique Used

Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment being claimed? ☒ No ☐ Yes - If Yes, rate each used as follows:
1 = Used, but did not help 2 = Helped, but only minimally 3 = Helped, substantially 4 = Absolutely essential

1. Acctg Tech Assistance Rating	8. Eng. Sect. Tape Exams Rating	15. Photographic Coverage Rating	22. Telephone Toll Recs Rating
2. Aircraft Assistance	9. Hypnosis Assistance	16. Polygraph Assistance	23. UCO Group I
3. Computer Assistance	10. Ident Div Assistance	17. Search Warrants Executed	24. UCO Group II
4. Consensual Monitoring	11. Informant Information	18. Show Money Usage	25. UC Other
5. ELSUR - FISC	12. Lab Div Exams	19. Surveil. Sqd. (SOG) Asst	26. NCAVC/ VI-CAP
6. ELSUR - Title III	13. Lab Div Field Support	20. SWAT Team Action	27. Visual Invest - Analysis (VIA)
7. Eng. Sect. Field Support	14. Pen Registers	21. Tech. Agt. or Tech Equip	

b3 b6 b7C b7E

A. Preliminary Judicial Process (Number of subjects)

Complaints	Informations	Indictments

B. Arrests, Locates, Summonses or Subpoenas Served (No. of Subj.)

	Subject Priority* A	B	C
FBI Arrests -			
FBI Locates -			
Local Arrests -			

Subpoenas Served 1
Criminal Summons
Local Crim. Summons

FBI Subj. Resisted ____ ; Armed ____

C. Release of Hostages or Children Located: (Number of Hostages or Children Located)

Hostages Held By Terrorists ____ ; All Other Hostage Situations ____

Missing or Kidnaped Children Located ____

D. Recoveries, Restitutions, or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) *(Explain valuation in remarks)*

Property Type Code*	Recoveries	Restitutions	PELP Type Code*	Potential Economic Loss Prevented
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		
	$	$		
	$	$		

b6 b7C

E. Civil Matters

	Government Defendant
Amount of Suit	$
Settlement or Award	$

Enter AFA Payment Here

F. Final Judicial Process: Judicial District ____ District ____ State ____ Conviction or Pretrial Div. Date ____ Sentence Date ____ No. of Subjects ____ Acquitted ____ Dismissed

Subject 1 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $

Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence.
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail.

Subject 2 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $

Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence.
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail.

Subject 3 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $

Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence.
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail.

Subject 4 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos
	V-1								

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $

Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence.
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail.

Attach additional forms if reporting final judicial process on more than four subjects, and submit a final disposition for (R-84) for each subject.

Remarks: (For **every** subject reported in Sections A, B or F above, provide name, DOB, race*, sex, and POB and SSAN if available.)

DE-197. 196-[redacted]-6X1

17 NOV 5 1985

b3 b6 b7C

b6 b7C

2 - Bureau
3 - Field Office (1 - 196B-1305); (1 - 66-65); (1 - Personnel folder SA
* See codes on reverse side.

DEC 22 1986

(5)

THREE

INCONS AUG 0 6 1986 196-6694

OCA cons 10/17/86

Property Type Codes*

Code No	Description
1	Cash (U.S. and foreign currency)
2	Stock, Bonds or Negotiable Instruments (checks, travelers checks, money orders, certificates of deposit, etc)
3	General Retail Merchandise (clothing, food, liquor, cigarettes, TVs, etc)
4	Vehicles (autos, trucks, tractors, trailers, campers, motorcycles, etc)
5	Heavy Machinery & Equipment (heavy equipment, computers, etc)
6	Bulk Materials (grain, fuel, raw materials, metals, wire, etc)
7	Jewelry (including unset precious and semiprecious stones)
8	Precious Metals (gold, silver, silverware, platinum, etc)
9	Art, Antiques or Rare Collections
11	Weapons or Explosives
20	All Other Recoveries (not falling in any category above)

Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) Type Codes*

Code No	Description
22	Counterfeit Stocks, Bonds, Currency or Negotiable Instruments
23	Counterfeit or Pirated Sound Recordings or Motion Pictures
24	Bank Theft Scheme Aborted
25	Ransom, Extortion or Bribe Demand Aborted
26	Theft from, or Fraud Against, Government Scheme Aborted
27	Commercial or Industrial Theft Scheme Aborted
30	All Other Potential Economic Loss Prevented (not falling in any category above)

*Except for cash, the Remarks section must contain an explanation of the computation of the recovery value or loss prevented. An explanation airtel must accompany this report if the recovery is $1 million or more, or if the PELP is $5 million or more.

Subject Description Codes*

- Enter Description Code Only When Reporting a Conviction -

Organized Crime Subjects:
- 1A Boss, Underboss or Consigliere
- 1B Capodecina or Soldier
- 1C Possible LCN Member or Associate
- 1D OC Subject Other Than LCN

Known Criminals (Other Than OC Members):
- 2A Top Ten or I.O. Fugitive
- 2B Top Thief
- 2C Top Con Man

Foreign Nationals:
- 3A Legal Alien
- 3B Illegal Alien
- 3C Foreign Official Without Diplomatic Immunity
- 3D U.N. Employee Without Diplomatic Immunity
- 3E Foreign Students
- 3F All Others

Terrorists:
- 4A Known Member of a Terrorist Organization
- 4B Possible Terrorist Member or Sympathizer

Union Members:
- 5A International or National Officer
- 5B Local Officer
- 5C Union Employee

Government Officials Or Employees:

Federal	State	Local
6A Presidential Appointee	6J Governor	6R Mayor
6B U.S. Senator	6K Lt. Governor	
6C U.S. Representative	6L Legislator	6S Legislator
6D Judge	6M Judge	6T Judge
6E Prosecutor	6N Prosecutor	6U Prosecutor
6F Law Enforcement Officer	6P Law Enforcement Officer	6V Law Enforcement Officer
6G Fed Empl - GS 13 & above	6Q All Others - State	6W All Others - Local
6H Fed Empl - GS 12 & below		

Bank Officers or Employees:
- 7A Bank Officer
- 7B Bank Employee

All Others:
- 8A All Other Subjects (not fitting above categories)

*If a subject can be classified in more than one of the categories, select the most appropriate in the circumstance.

Instructions

Subject Priorities for FBI Arrest or Locates:

A - Subject wanted for crimes of violence (i.e. murder, manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

B - Subjects wanted for crimes involving the loss or destruction of property valued in excess of $25,000 or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

C - All others

Claiming Non-Federal Arrests, Summonses, Recoveries or Convictions:

It is permissible to claim a local arrest, summons, recovery or conviction **if the FBI significantly contributed to the accomplishment.** A succinct narrative setting forth the basis for the claim must accompany this report. When claiming a local recovery, enter the word "LOCAL" to the right of the amount. Enter "LF" in the "In-Jail" block for all life sentences and "CP" for capital punishment sentences.

Reporting Convictions:

Convictions should not be reported until the sentence has been issued. There are two exceptions to this rule. The conviction information can be submitted by itself if:

1. The subject becomes a fugitive after conviction but prior to sentencing.
2. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.

An explanation is required in the Remarks section for either of the above exceptions.

Rule 20 Situations:

The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, **not** the office where the subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.

Investigative Assistance or Techniques (IA/Ts) Used:

-Since more than one IA/T could have contributed to the accomplishment, each IA/T used must be rated.

-The IA/T used must be rated **each time** an accomplishment is claimed. (For example - if informant information was the basis for a complaint, an arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the "Informant Information" block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)

Race Codes:

C = Chinese; I = Indian/American; J = Japanese; N = Negro; O = All other; U = Unknown; W = White

FBI/DOJ

SD 196B-1305

ADDENDUM:

For San Diego copies only, set tickler for 10/1/87 to review evidence for return/destruction.

SD 0005 2900432Z

RR HQ BS LA NK NY SF

DE SD

R 162208Z OCT 85

FM SAN DIEGO (196B-1305) (P)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

BOSTON (196B-1620) ROUTINE

LOS ANGELES (196B-4161) (WCC-1) ROUTINE

NEWARK ROUTINE

NEW YORK ROUTINE

SAN FRANCISCO (196B-2188) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ET AL; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), 486 TOTTEN POND ROAD, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS-VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA; OO: SAN DIEGO.

RE SAN DIEGO TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED OCTOBER 15, 1985.

FOR INFORMATION TWENTY-THREE SEARCH WARRANTS EXECUTED AT RESIDENCES WITHIN SAN DIEGO DIVISION DURING EARLY EVENING, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1985. TWENTY-FIVE MICRO COMPUTER SYSTEMS WERE SEIZED. SUSPECTS WERE INTERVIEWED, OTHER EVIDENCE WAS SEIZED. INFORMATION LEARNED DURING INTERVIEWS, ALONG WITH THE EVIDENCE WILL BE

[redacted]

RECEIVED TELETYPE UNIT 17 Oct 85 0604 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

196-6433-7

10 OCT 28 1985

53 DEC 17 1985

[redacted]

b6 b7C

b3 b6 b7C

b6 b7C

ANALYZED AND APPROPRIATE ACTION WILL BE TAKEN.

SAN DIEGO ISSUED PRESS RELEASE OCTOBER 16, 1985. ALL PRESS INQUIRIES FOR INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION AND CHASE MANHATTAN BANK BEING HANDLED BY [redacted] PUBLIC RELATIONS DEPARTMENT, CHASE MANHATTAN BANK, NEW YORK, TELEPHONE [redacted] ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY (AUSA) [redacted] FRAUD UNIT, U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, HANDLING PRESS INQUIRIES FOR THAT OFFICE.

b6
b7C

SEARCHES CONCLUDE INITIAL PHASES OF THIS INVESTIGATION. SINCE NO LEADS GENERATED FOR NEW YORK AND NEWARK OFFICES, NO FURTHER COMMUNICATIONS BEING SENT THOSE OFFICES UNLESS SPECIFIC REASON EXISTS. BOSTON, LOS ANGELES AND SAN FRANCISCO WILL BE KEPT INFORMED.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUES AT SAN DIEGO.

BT

#

October 17, 1985

JUDGE:

RE: [redacted]
ET AL; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), 486 TOTTEN POND ROAD, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM; FRAUD BY WIRE; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JUVENILE DELINQUENCY ACT; OO: SAN DIEGO

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C

On October 15, 1985, the San Diego Office of the FBI, based on Federal search warrants issued in San Diego, conducted searches at twenty-three residences in San Diego County. Investigation by the San Deigo Division had determined that individuals ranging from 14 to 32 years of age residing at these locations had been engaged in "hacking" into the computer of the Interactive Data Corporation (IDC), located in Waltham, Massachusetts, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Chase Manhattan Bank (CMB) of New York.

IDC is a time-share computer with nearly 30,000 subscribers which is accessed by dialing an 800 telephone number. Contained in the computer are financial accounts of CMB.

The unauthorized access began in July, 1985, with the most recent attempt being on October 11, 1985. The hackers not only gained access, but also modified records, changed access passwords, changed a money transfer code word, created a new listing of an account, and threatened to be destructive.

Through the cooperation of IDC and CMB, the San Diego Division obtained a Federal Court ordered trace and trap on [redacted]

b6 b7C b7E

The search based on alleged violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030, the Counterfeit Access Device and Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1984, resulted in the seizure of 26 computers and voluminous peripheral software and documents.

The evidence seized is being evaluated in an attempt to determine the knowledge and intent of those accessing the computer. After that has been completed, the Assistant United States Attorney in San Diego, will make a decision regarding prosecution of individuals who were involved.

NOV 1 1985

[redacted]

53 DEC 17 1985

FILE [redacted]

The matter has received limited media attention.

You will be kept advised of developments in this matter.

b6
b7C

F. I. [redacted]

[redacted]

FD-272 (Rev. 3-3-59)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office	Office of Origin	Date	Investigative Period
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	12/13/85	7/30 - 12/3/85

Title of Case

[redacted] 5

ET AL

Report made by

SA [redacted]

Typed By: [redacted] b3 b6 b7C

Character of Case

FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; JDA

Oct - 5, 6, 7,

~~SUMMARY~~

PROSECUTIVE

REFERENCE: San Diego teletype to Director, 8/1/85, 8/21/85, 9/12/85, 10/7/85, 10/16/85, 10/17/85 and 11/16/85

ser. 1 ser. 3 ser. 5X ser. 6 ser. 7 ser. 7

ADMINISTRATIVE

The details of this report have been placed in three (3) loose leaf binders, and additions will be made from time to time as additional investigation and evidence analysis is completed. In the interest of cost and space economy, only the narrative of the investigation is being furnished to Bureau, UAC.

Photo

CC TO [redacted]
REQ. REC'D 5-4-87
MAY 14 1987

[redacted]

Re [redacted] 30-SA [redacted]

Approved [redacted] Special Agent in Charge

Copies m[redacted]

Do not write in spaces below

196-6433-9

15 DEC 18 1985

1 - Bureau (196-6433)
(Narrative pages only)
1 - USA, San Diego
(Attn: AUSA [redacted]
1 - San Diego (196B-1305)

b3 b6 b7C

Notations:

[redacted]

CC TO:
REQ. REC'D
MAR 7 1989
ANS.
BY:

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR EXPLANATION

I U o MAR 27 1986

RE: [redacted]

FD-517 (12-2-77)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

PROSECUTIVE REPORT OF INVESTIGATION CONCERNING

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC),
486 TOTTEN POND ROAD,
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS - VICTIM;
FRAUD BY WIRE;
COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984;
JUVENILE DELINQUENCY ACT

FBI/DOJ

FD-518 (12-2-77)

Copy to: 1 - United States Attorney, San Diego, California
(Attention: Assistant U.S. Attorney [redacted]) b6 b7C

Report of: SA [redacted] Office: SAN DIEGO
Date: December 13, 1985

Field Office File #: SD 196B-1305 Bureau File #: 196-6433

Narrative of Offense:

In April 1985, INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC) began experiencing what will be described as hacker attempts through telephone 800-344-1900. These attempts were made to enter their Time Shared Computer located in Waltham, Massachusetts. [redacted] b7E

During May and June, hacker attempts increased in number and intensity. By July, it became apparent that unauthorized entry had been accomplished. In fact, [redacted]

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C b7E

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FBI/DOJ

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

On July 18, 1985, the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI), Boston, Massachusetts, and local Massachusetts authorities were notified of unauthorized use on the IDC computer.

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C b7E

On July 30, 1985, a CHASE MANHATTAN auditor and a CHASE MANHATTAN security officer traveled to San Diego, California, [redacted] b7E A meeting was held with the FBI and the hacker problem was discussed. The CHASE officials in San Diego advised the IDC computer contained data which was covered by the Right to Financial Privacy Act, thereby giving the FBI federal jurisdiction in the investigation. Therefore, the FBI assumed such jurisdiction.

[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

On July 31, 1985, a Federal Grand Jury subpoena was served on [redacted] b3

On August 7, 1985, U.S. District Judge WILLIAM ENRIGHT, Southern District of California at San Diego, California, signed a court order mandating [redacted] b7E

[redacted] b6 b7C b7E

Based upon the information provided by [redacted] Special Agent (SA) [redacted] FBI, San Diego, California, conducted such investigation as was necessary to locate and adequately describe [redacted] In addition, neighbors were contacted in an attempt to insure that a person, meeting a general profile of a hacker, lived at the residence in question. Although confidentiality was requested by the agent in all cases, and by the neighbors in a majority of the cases, it became known that the existence of the investigation was compromised at least on three occasions; [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

Based on the evidence from IDC, [redacted] and the neighborhood investigations, an affidavit for a search warrant was prepared and search warrants were sought for 23 residences within San Diego County. On October 13, 1985, U.S. Magistrate ROGER CURTIS McKEE issued search warrants for all 23 residences.

b7E

On October 13, 1985, prior to the execution of the search warrants, a briefing was held at the FBI office in San Diego. In attendance were all agents involved in the search, the case agent who conducted the briefing, the supervisors and clerks assisting, and Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] of the Fraud Unit, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, San Diego, California. The briefing included background information on the investigation in general, an overview of a hacker profile, and an overview regarding the sensitive nature of juvenile computer abuse and instructions regarding the professional, yet considerate manner in which the searches should be conducted. A list of questions was provided each search team to assist in the interview of the suspects. An early evening hour was picked for the execution of the warrants to insure parent presence. AUSA [redacted] also reiterated the sensitive nature of this investigation and instructed the agents to conduct the searches consistent with such considerations.

b6
b7C

The searches were made at the homes of the following suspects, all of whom were interviewed or declined interview:

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C

In addition, it was also known that [redacted] b6 b7C b7E

[redacted] Interviews at that business determined the suspect there was [redacted] However, because of the business nature of [redacted] no search warrant was sought for that business. However, [redacted] was interviewed.

At the conclusion of the searches, all evidence seized, including 25 microcomputer systems, was placed into evidence at the FBI office in San Diego.

The evidence seized was analyzed and the results reported, along with the details of all of the above information, to the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE in San Diego, California.

Information learned regarding 13 hacker suspects located outside of the Southern District of California was furnished to the appropriate FBI office for investigation.

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

SD0014 2140247Z

[redacted] HQ BS NK NY SF

DE SSD

R 010001Z AUG 85

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FM SAN DIEGO (196B-1305) (P)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

ATTN: FIINANCIAL CRIME UNIT

BOSTON ROUTINE

NEWARK ROUTINE

NEW YORK ROUTINE

SAN FRANCISCO ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

UNSUBS; INTERACTIVE DATA CORPORATION (IDC), WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS; VICTIM; FBW; COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT OF 1984; OO:SAN DIEGO.

ON JULY 30, 1985, [redacted] SPECIAL INVESTIGATOR, AND [redacted] VICE PRESIDENT-AUDIT DIVISION, CHASE MANHATTAN BANK,, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, TRAVELED TO SAN DIEGO TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE AND LIAISON WITH FEDERAL AGENCIES INVESTIGATING WHAT THEY DESCRIBED AS "HACKING" INTO IDC, A SUBSIDIARY OF CHASE MANHATTAN.

[redacted] U.S. SECRET SERVICE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, ADVISED

[redacted]

53 NOV 1

[redacted]

[redacted]